IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

THURSDAY, THE 22ND MARCH 2007 / 1ST CHAITHRA 1929

Bail Appl..No. 1733 of 2007()

C.R.NO.91/06 OF NEDUMANGADU EXCISE RANGE

: PETITIONER/ACCUSED

PUSHPALATHA, D/O. BHARATHI,
SIMI BHAVAN, KOTTAKKAKOM, ARYANADU.

BY ADV. SRI.T.A.UNNIKRISHNAN

RESPONDENTS: COMPLAINANT

THE STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.JAI GEORGE

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22/03/2007, ALONG WITH BA.NO.1743/07 THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

B.A. Nos.1733 and 1743 OF 2007

Dated this the 22nd day of March, 2007

O R D E R

The common petitioner, a lady, in these applications, who is the common accused in C.R.Nos.91 and 29 of 2006 respectively of the Nedumangadu Excise Range for allegedly having been found in possession of 2 litres of illicit arrack on 16.9.06 and 8 litres of illicit arrack on 3.5.06 respectively, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the applications.

3. Anticipatory bail cannot be granted in a case of this nature. There is no reason why the petitioner should not surrender before the Magistrate concerned and seek regular bail. Accordingly, if the petitioner surrenders before the Magistrate concerned and files an application for regular bail, within two weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of, preferably on the same date on which it is filed, bearing in mind the decision of this court reported in ***Sukumari Vs. State of Kerala*** [2001 (1) KLT 22].

These applications are disposed of as above.

(V. RAMKUMAR, JUDGE)

aks